

Rowbotham Law Office

David W. Rowbotham
Direct Line: (403) 571-4621
Direct E-mail: rlo.dwr@shaw.ca

320, 703 - 6th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 0T9
Telephone: (403) 571-4620
Facsimile: (403) 571-4624
E-mail: rlo.office@shaw.ca
File No. 121-1/DWR

VIA COURIER (One CD in PDF Format and 15 Paper Copies)

March 21, 2005

Ernie Smith, Resources Applications
8th Floor, Alberta Energy and Utilities Board
640 - 5th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 3G4

Dear Sirs:

Re: General Bulletin 2003-28 ("GB 2003-28")
Bitumen Conservation Requirements
Athabasca Wabiskaw-McMurray
Phase 3 Final Proceeding
Proceeding No. 1347905

Further to the letter of the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (the "Board") in the above matter dated March 2, 2005, I am enclosing herewith the Information Request of Stylus Energy Inc. ("Stylus") to the Staff Submission Group in the above Proceeding. Copies of the Stylus Information Request have also been served on SSG counsel and other Interested Parties and their counsel in accordance with the directions of the Board set forth in the Board's February 1, 2005 letter and Board counsel's e-mail of this morning.

At this time I would also like to take this opportunity to advise the Board that pursuant to an arrangement effected pursuant to the *Business Corporations Act* (Alberta), Stylus Exploration Inc. and Kinloch Resources Inc. amalgamated to form Stylus Energy Inc. effective March 1, 2005. All contact information with respect to Stylus remains the same as the contact information with respect to Stylus Exploration Inc., with the exception that the address of Stylus has changed to the following:

Stylus Energy Inc.
530, 400 - 5th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 0L6

By copy of this letter and the enclosures to all Interested Parties and their counsel in Proceeding No. 1347905, I would request that all Interested Parties and their counsel update their contact information for Stylus in accordance with the advice provided in this letter.

Yours very truly,



David W. Rowbotham
Counsel for Stylus Energy Inc.

DWR:sjp

cc: J.P. Mousseau, SSG Counsel
Alberta Energy and Utilities Board
640 - 5th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta T2P 3G4
(One CD in PDF Format and 3 Paper Copies)

cc: Stylus Energy Inc.
Attn: Mr. P. Evans, President (via e-mail)

cc: Interested Parties and their Counsel (via e-mail)

ALBERTA ENERGY AND UTILITIES BOARD ("AEUB")

**GENERAL BULLETIN 2003-28 ("GB 2003-28")
BITUMENT CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS
ATHABASCA WABISKAW-MCMURRAY**

PHASE 3 FINAL PROCEEDING

PROCEEDING NO. 1347905

**INFORMATION REQUESTS OF STYLUS ENERGY INC.
TO THE STAFF SUBMISSION GROUP - MARCH 21, 2005**

1.

Reference: Staff Submission Group ("SSG") Submission dated February 14, 2005, as amended February 17, 2005 (the "SSG Submission")
– Section 4.1 - pages 4 and 5.

Preamble: In Section 4.1 of the SSG Submission it is stated that the SSG revised the pooling of the single-well McMurray R Pool for the 7-28-82-12 W4 well (the "7-28 well") and the single-well McMurray Undefined 074 pool for the 6-27-82-12 W4 well (the "6-27 well") resulting in a new two-well pool. The SSG goes on to recommend the shut-in of the 7-28 well.

Question:

 - a) Please provide all data assessed by the SSG with respect to the 7-28 well and the 6-27 well which caused the SSG to change its interpretation of the single well pools for each of the subject wells.
 - b) With respect to the data provided in response to Question 1.a) above, please identify all data received or assessed by the SSG subsequent to the preparation of SSG's submission for the 1st Interim Phase 3 Proceeding in respect of which the AEUB issued Decision 2004-45 dated May 31, 2004.
 - c) Please advise as to any specific interpretations of data which have changed by the SSG which have led the SSG to change its recommendation with respect to the 7-28 well.
2.

Reference: AEUB Decision 2004-45, Table 2 Divide 00/07-28-082-12 W4/0 well

Preamble: In Table 2 it is stated by the AEUB that the reason for the AEUB decision to approve production from the 7-28 well is the presence of a regional mudstone/shale.

Question: Please provide all data assessed and interpretations made by the SSG which have caused the SSG to dispute the AEUB's conclusion that there is a sealing regional mudstone or shale present in the 7-28 well.