

MINUTES OF ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING
PROCEEDING 169
Friday, June 24, 2011

Attendees:

Randy Block Q.C.	Sunshine, AOSC, and Husky
Rick Williams	Sunshine, AOSC, and Husky
Deidre Derworiz	Total
Scott Willhelm	Bonavista
Larry Martinuzzi	Perpetual
Gary Perkins	ERCB
Bernadette Law	ERCB
David Burns	ERCB

1.0 Scheduling of Final Hearing

Board Counsel (Burns) regarding Enerplus's position

- advised that Enerplus had communicated in a telephone call to him that it was an interested party, but did not intend to participate in a final hearing and was not the licensee of wells potentially affected by the Board's decision.

Sunshine, AOSC, and Husky

- noted that IRs have gone out and been answered
- the Board's mandate has been met
- there is a full record
- no change to their applications, the applicants deliberately filed all the relevant information in their applications and interim proceeding submissions
- there is no need for a final hearing from their perspective: the Board is in a position to make final orders
- noted that the connectivity of pools has grown and there may be a lingering issue with wells at the boundary of the pools ("orphans") needing to be included to avoid the practical problem of a few wells being left out

Total

- nothing more to file
- no need for a final hearing
- only asking for one well to be shut in

Bonavista

- does not intend to participate in a final hearing, but is an interested party
- Board has all the information that it requires
- Bonavista does not require an opportunity to cross-examine witnesses nor provide evidence or argument as the Board has sufficient information

Perpetual

- further to its email, Perpetual wanted to ensure that the final shut in order will be a comprehensive list of all the wells that are in the shut in pools

- consistent with the applicants' statement, there are some wells on the margins of pools, in undefined pools, in geological commingling orders, that are not on the list but should be on the list. This work should be done so these wells are not missed
- Perpetual has no new information to submit
- if the Board confirms that the 102/1-28 well is on a shut in order, Perpetual will withdraw its gas production application

Board Staff

- Bernadette Law indicated that the starting point for the interim shut in orders was that wells with production reported from the pools considered for shut in were identified. To capture the wells on the margins it may be that all wells with pay assigned to a shut in zone should be identified